Annexure-10

Sno	Filed By	Contention	Consideration Remarks
1	Amarnath T, ITI	Representation to Board to implement NR Parmar Decision in	The seniority in the cadres has been revised as per the Advisory
2	Chandra Sekhar T, Sr.TA	all the cadres or request DOPT to issue clarification on the	issued by the Board
3	Geeta Venkata Lakshmi M,	lines of OM dt. 03.03.2008	
4	Hariharan G, ITI		
5	Janakiram T S, ITI		
6	Jyotsna S, ITI		
7	Kalyan Kumar U, ITI		
8	Lakshmi P J V, ITI		
9	Lalitha Kumari S V S, ITI		
10	Magendra Kumar P, ITI		
11	Mohan Rao P, ITI		
12	Narender Rao G, Steno		
13	Neelima T, Sr.TA		
14	Parameshwari I, ITI		
15	Prasad K V S S, ITI		
16	Rajendra Prasad K, ITI		
17	Rupalakshmi S, ITI		
18	Sai Prasad B, ITI		
19	Sarita, ITI		
20	Satya Krishna Kumari R, ITI		
21	Shafiullah Md., ITI		
22	Shailaja M, OS		
23	Shanti Sree J, OS		
24	Shyamala Rani S, ITI		
25	Sree Lakshmi P, Sr.TA		
26	Sreekanth S, ITI		
27	Srinivasa Rao N, ITI		
28	Subramanyam G V, ITI		
29	Surekha G, ITI		
30	Susheela Rani I, Sr.TA		
31	Uma Srinivas A, ITI		
32	Venkata Ramana D, ITI		
33	Venkateswara Rao CH., ITI		
34	Venugopal K, Sr.TA		

		Representations Received regarding Revis	
35	Amit Biswas, ITI	Adhoc promotion ITIs of 2007 made regular in 2008 have been	They have been accorded seniority from the date of their adhoc
36	Saurabh Srivastav, ITI	given seniority from 2007 - the same should be corrected	promotion as their adhoc promotion was against promotee quota
37	G Sudha Madhav, ITI		only and their services continued uninterruptedly till regularisation.
38	Appa Rao N V, ITI	Objection to seniority list of ITIs as on 01.01.2014 circulated -	Seniority is prepared financial year wise as the APARs are
39	Bhavanarayana M V N S, ITI	Date of joining as ITI wrongly shown - Opportunity not given -	maintained financial year wise as per DOPT Guidelines. The date of
40	Brahmaji K, ITI	Some DRs have been given seniority from the period they were	joining of DR is not relevant for reckoning of seniority and the year
41	Durgamba T, ITI	working in other departments - Those joined after 1st January should be placed below as PRs of that year - Some DRs have	of respective SSC Exam DR is fixed as per advisory issued by the CBDT. As per Board lr. in F.No.C-18013/4/2014-Ad.VII dated
42	Jagannadha Rao, ITI	joined very late and as per DOPT guidelines, if they do not join	06.06.2914, "In the Specific context of Income Tax Department,
43	Janakiram T S, ITI	within six months, their offer of appointment lapses - their	wherein the inter-se seniority of DRs and PRs have been under
44	Kamaraj Y R V, ITI	seniority should be fixed along with next batch. DOPT OM	dispute on the grounds of misinterpretation of the provisions of OM
45	Kishore Kumar J G S	dt.Dt 4/3/14 — SC Order Applicable From 27/11/12 –Not To	dated 07.02.1986/03.07.86 and has led to Parmar judgement of
46	Kurma Reddy R, ITO	Reopen Settled Cases Of Seniority - 5 Vacancies advertised	Supreme Court, it is unambigously confirmed that the entire issue of
47	Lalit Kumar K, ITI	but 850 DRs finally were selected and joined In 2008 - Parmar apples to only 5 and not to all 850. In AP, the criteria	seniority of the officers of Income Tax Department would have to be revisited in compliance of the judgement of the Supreme Court".
48	Mahadevan M V S, ITI	was date of receipt of dossiers from SSC—So 3/3/08 was never	Hence seniority has been revised as per the Advisory issued by the
49	Nageswara Rao B V, ITI	implemented in AP - So we need not revist senioroty already	Board.
50	Narasimha Rao NVL, ITI	fixed in terms of OM 4/3/14. OM dt 4/3/14 Cannot be	
51	Padmini P, ITI	bypassed by Clarifications/Cbdt dtd 6/6/14.	
52	Paideswara Rao Ch.V, ITI		
53	Pardhasarathy M, ITI		
54	Ram Prasad D V, ITI		
55	Ramakrishna CH., ITI		
56	Ranga Reddy M, ITI		
57	Sai Prasad B, ITI		
58	Sandhya Rani R, ITI		
59	Sarita, ITI		
60	Seetarama Murthy G, ITO		
61	Srinivas N R, ITI		
62	Sudha Vani Y, ITI		
63	Sudheer Kumar M, ITI		
64	Vasanthalakshmi R, ITI		
65	Venkata Rattaiah V, ITI		
66	Vinod B V, ITI		
67	Kalyan Kumar U, ITI		

Γ Seshagiri Rao, ITO	Appointment on Compassionate Grounds - Placed at last but one candidate of RY 1986-87 as date of joining is on 3rd April 1986 - However, the appointment relates to Vacancy of 1985-86 and appointment offer letter is dated 14/11/1985 and the appointment order is dated 27.03.1986, I should be placed in RY 1985-86. My seniority cannot be disturbed to implement the decision of NR Parmar. The official enclosed copy of FAQs issued by the D.O.P.T and drew attention to	The contention is that he should be considered for the year 1985-86 and not for 1986-87. The compassionate ground appointment related ciculars and instructions reckon the date of joining by a person appointed on compassionate grounds as the date of his/her regular appointment. Thus the date of offer letter or appoinment order have no place. Consequently, in this case, the date of joining being 3/4/86, the date of appointment would also have to be taken as 3/4/86. Once
	item 54 which reads as under:- A person appointed on compassionate ground in a particular recruitment year may be placed at the bottom of all the candidates recruited/appointed through direct recruitment,promotion etc in that year irrespective of date of joining of the candidate on compassionate ground.	the date of appointment is taken as 3/4/86, he then comes in recruitment year 1986-87 and can not be considered for recruitment year 1985-86. The seniority list is prepared year wise and as per OM No.20011/1/2008-Estt.(D) dated 11.11.2010 which clarifies the fixation of seniority of a person appointed on compassionate grounds. The official is placed at the bottom of all the candidates recruited/appointed through direct recruitment, promotion, etc. in that year, irrespective of date of joining of candidate on compassionate grounds. Incidentally, he was placed earlier also in year 1986-87 and even now he is placed in the same year 1986-87. However earlier, the date of joining was followed and now the date of joining is ignored and he is placed at the bottom as per instructions of D.O.P.T referred earlier in the paragraph.
A Raghu Rama Rao, ITI M V Sai Kumar, ITI Kiran Kumar A, ITI Sridhar D, ITI	Appointment on Compassionate Grounds - Placed at the bottom of RY. The DOPT OM No.20011/1/2008-Estt.(D) dated 11.11.2010 is not applicable in my case as it is meant for the employees who have been considered and appointed under compassionate grounds against DR vacancy w.e.f. 09/10/1998. Seniority of DRs should be fixed as per the date of vacancy or the date of requisition to SSC. My seniority may be fixed against the DR vacancy against which I was appointed as there is no requisition to SSC in my case.	The instructions issued are to be applied only for appointments made after 9/10/98 is the contention. However, it is to be stated that the principles are to be applied in all Compassionate ground appointments even though they are prior to 1998 as held by the Delhi CAT in the case of Ms Accordingly, the same principles were applied. the Committee has carefully examined the OMs issued by the DOPT on Compassionate Scheme from 1987 onwards and also an order dated 24-02-2015 passed by the Hon'ble CAT, Principal Bench, Delhi in the case of Smt. Shahnaz Khan Vs Union of India(OA No.1391/2013). The Hon'ble CAT in its order had cited specifically the OM dated 11-11-2010, wherein clarification regarding fixation of seniority was given, and its applicability to the applicant in the OA who joined the Government service in the year 1992 under compassionate grounds was upheld.
N K	1 V Sai Kumar, ITI Ciran Kumar A, ITI	A Raghu Rama Rao, ITI Appointment on Compassionate Grounds - Placed at the bottom of RY. The DOPT OM No.20011/1/2008-Estt.(D) dated 11.11.2010 is not applicable in my case as it is meant for the employees who have been considered and appointed under compassionate grounds against DR vacancy w.e.f. 09/10/1998. Seniority of DRs should be fixed as per the date of vacancy or the date of requisition to SSC. My seniority may be fixed against the DR vacancy against which I was appointed as there is no requisition to SSC in my case.

73	Prabhakar Rao D, ITO	To implement the decision of NR Parmar and revise seniority accordingly.	Seniority of SSC DR ITIs has been revised as per the Advisory issued by the Board
74	MSSN Srinivas, ITO	To fix seniority as per NR Parmar - Otherwise also, seniority to	Seniority is prepared year wise. The seniority of DRs of respective
75	Srinivasa Kumar K, ITO	be fixed in RY 1993-94 as the dossiers were received in the FY	SSC exam has been fixed as per the Advisory issued by the Board
76	Adinarayana C N V, ITO	1993-94, as per consistent practice being followed in AP	and they were alloted to respective recruitment years as petitioned. It
77	Shivalingam K, ITO	Region	is also to be clarified that earlier, as the dossiers were received from
78	Narsing Rao B, ITO		the SSC in calender year 1996-97 (March 1996 for example), they were considered as belonging to year 1996-97. However, the basis
79	Muralidhar T, ITO		for the year to be considered is financial year and since the dossiers
80	Siva Sankara Rao K, ITO		were received in March 96, they should have been considered as
81	Nirmala B V, ITO		belonging to recruitment year 1995-96 and not 1996-97 as done.
82	Sunanda P, ITO		
83	Annavaram K, ITO		
84	Srinivasa Rao N, ITO		
85	Kodandarama Reddy G, ITO	To fix seniority as per NR Parmar - Otherwise also, seniority to	
86	Balaji S K, ITO	be fixed in RY 1994-95 as the dossiers were received in the FY	
87	Sreedhar Reddy D, ITO	1994-95, as per consistent practice being followed in AP	
88	Sivaiah G, ITO	Region	
89	Madhu P, ITO	To fix seniority as per NR Parmar - Otherwise also, seniority to	
90	Kalayana Chakravarthi N K, ITO	be fixed in RY 1995-96 as the dossiers were received in the FY 1993-94, as per consistent practice being followed in AP	
91	Krishna Rao G, ITO	Region	
92	M Babu Rao, ITO	To refix seniority as per NR Parmar Decision as the	
93	Satish M A K, ITO	Administration had filed an affidavit before CAT that the	
94	Murthy, K G K, ITO	seniority would be refixed consequent to the decision of the	
95	Sreedhar S P, ITO	Apex Court in the case of NR Parmar	
96	Shyam Kumar S, ITO	As per reply to my earlier representation dated 20.06.2005, to fix seniority in RY 1995-96, the Admn. had replied that the same would be revised consequent to the judgement in NR Parmar Case. To fix seniority as per NR Parmar - Otherwise also, seniority to be fixed in RY 1995-96 as the dossiers were received in the FY 1993-94, as per consistent practice being followed in AP Region	

97	D.S.Durganand	Inter Charge Transfer. MP to AP. Joined On 3/6/1993 a UDC-	On verification, it is found that Sri Y Prabhakar is senior to the
	D.D.Dargununa	Last in that year's list. Y.Prabhakar is above him –	petitioner in the cadre of UDC. In 2001 Restructure, seniority in the
		Prabahakar passed ITI Exam in 1998 while he passed in 1997	cadre of UDC has been reckoned for merging the cadre to STA as
		yet Prabhakar is placed above him. UDC Cadre- Pay Scale	per Board's Instructions. The same is continued as the same was
		1200-2040 and TA Cadre is 1350-2200 but when merged to	done as per Board's Instructions. Sri Y Prabhakar is placed above
		From the STA Cadre, UDCs were placed above TAs.	him as per his seniority
		•	•
98	Bharadwaja M, ITO	Intercharge Official - Decision in the case of Rajeev Mohan to	Seniority is fixed as per the note in Memorandum - Criteria adopted
99	Kumara Mangalam N, ITI	be implemented - Those joining later to their joining in AP &	regarding seniority of Intercharge Officials
100	Prabhakar Y, ITI	TS Charge should be placed below them - SSC DRs who have joined later to their joining or whose requisition has gone later	
101	Raghuram K, ITO	to their joining should be placed below them. They should be	
102	Srinivasa Rao K, ITO	moved along with the batch of SSC DRs who were placed	
103	Vani R Shankar, ITO	above them	
104	Ratna Raju V, ITO		
105	Subrahmanya Sharma I, ITO		
106	Anand Vardhan A, ITO		
107	Satyanarayana Rao S, ITO		
108	Hari Krishna K, ITO		
109	Saleem S A, ITO		
110	B.Ramalakshmanna, ITO		
111	M.V.K.Rao, ITO		
112	B.Ramalakshmanna		
113	Mukunda Rao K, ITI		
114	Ravi B J, ITO	To include Officers in the cadre of CIT and Addl.CIT in the	CIT and JCIT were included in the NR Parmar Implementation
115	Paokholen Misao, ITO	NR Parmar Implementation Committee	Committee
116	Subrahmanyam D V, ITO		
117	Abhishek Shukla, ITI	To revise seniority as per NR Parmar Decision	Seniority is revised as per the Advisory issued by the Board for
118	Alok Kumar Dixit, ITI		implementation of the NR Parmar Decision
119	Amit Biswas, ITI		
120	Anand Kumar Pandey, ITI		
121	Ashish Kumar Singh, ITI		
122	Ashish Kumar, ITI		

Annexure-10

			Annex	ζU
	Consideration	of Representations Received regarding Revis	sion of Seniority due to NR Parmar Case	
123	Bhanu Prakash U, ITI			
124	Dharmendra Kumar Meena,			
125	Dheeraj Kumar M, ITI			
126	Jyoti Chandra, ITI			
127	Krishna Mohan M, ITI			
128	M Nagaraju, ITI			
129	Mahesh Kumar ,Iti Hyd			
130	Mahesh Kumar Meena, ITI			
131	Manas Ranjan Behera, ITI			
132	Manish Kamal, ITI			
133	Manjit Lohan, ITI			
134	Manoj Kumar P, ITI			
135	Mohan L, ITI			
136	Murthy R S R, ITI			
137	Narender Pal Singh, ITI			
138	Niraj Kumar, ITI			
139	Pallavi, ITI			
140	Phanindra Kumar P, ITI			
141	Prabhakar Rao D, ITO			
142	Praful Raj Matta, ITI			
143	Pramod Kumar Singh, ITI			
144	Rajesh Kumar, ITI			
145	Rashid Eqbal, ITI			
146	Sai Ram AVS, ITI			
147	Sathanand Kumar M, ITI			
148	Shashi Bhushan Kumar, ITI			
149	Syed Baji			
150	T Siva Ram Prasad, ITI			
151	V.Seshagiri, ITI			
152	Valmiki Sharan Jyoti, ITI			
153	Venkata Madhav Rentala, ITI			
154	Vijay Kumar Sahu, ITI			

155	Prabhakar Palam, ITO	Sports Quota Recruitment - To fix seniority in the year in	Seniority of meritorious sports person appointed in relaxation of
133	(Retd.)	which the advertisement for the posts was given. As per NR	recruitment rules is fixed as per the DOPT OM No.14015/1/76-
156	G.Krishna Kumar, ITO	Parmar Judgement, the seniority has to be fixed in the year the	Est.(D) dated 04.08.1980. The seniority has been fixed in the year of
157	Indukuri Susheela Rani,Iti	requisition was made to the recruiting authority	selection. As the Sports Quota Recruitment is intermittent and not
158	R Jaya Kumar, ITO		on regular basis and is also completely at the discretion of Cadre
159	M.Raghavendra Rao, ITI		Controlling Authority, in the sense that if proper and suitable
160	Alphonse Absolem, ITO		candidates are not found, they may not be recommended and selected for recruitment/appointment at all. The date of
161	Venkatesulu P, ITI		selection/appointment letter is taken as the basis to determine the
162	Poornachandra Kumar B V,		Recruitment Year.
163	Ravindra Kumar P, OS		
164	Venkata K Kumar Mutyala,	Recruited as Stenographer. Seniority may be fixed as per NR	The Board has advised that the NR Parmar Decision is applicable
	SG-II	Parmar Decision	only to the post of Inspectors, UDCs, Tax Assistants & LDCs where
165	Narsimha Rao NVL, ITI,		there is component of both Direct Recruitment and promotion. Hence, the seniority in the cadre of Stenographers is not revised, as
	Vijayawada		the Stenographer cadre does not have any promotion base for
166	Vijayabhaskara Rao M, ITI		recruitment at entry level
167	Soumya Sri C V, ITI		·
168	Sridhar Palikala, ITI		
169	Nori Suryanarayan, ITI		
170	Varaprasad Ch R.K., ITI		
171	Koteswara Rao M C,ITI,Hyd		
172	Ramchandra Sekhar		
172	Chirravuri		
173	Sateesh O	DRIDG Garieta to be of a least NR Research	Control of the contro
174	Bheema Rao K, OS	DR LDC. Seniority to be refixed as per NR Parmar Decision	Seniority in the cadre of LDC has been revised as per the Advisory issued by the Board for implementation of the NR Parmar decision
175	P Mahesh STA, Vizag		issued by the Board for implementation of the tax Parmai decision
176	Satyanarayana Setty KSV, STA		
177	Siva Prasad Matta, STA		
178	T.Chandra Sekhar, STA		
179	Mulukutla Venkataramana,	-	
1/9	ITI		
180	Sheik Abdul Meer Ansari,		
181	Eshwara Rao K, STA		
182	Ravi Kumar Billa, STA		
183	K.Aruna, STA		
	l .	1	

184	Ajay Kumar Das, TA	DR TA - Request to fix seniority as per NR Parmar	Seniority in the cadre of TA has been revised as per the Advisory
185	B.Harikrishna, STA		issued by the Board for implementation of the NR Parmar decision
186	Konda Madhusudan Reddy,		
	STA		
187	Prakash Rao A V V S, STA		
188	Kalyan Bhasuru, TA		
189	A.Ramesh, ITI	DR UDC - To fix seniority as per NR Parmar	Seniority of SSC DR UDCs has been fixed in the year the requisition
190	I Srinivasa Rao, ITI		was sent to SSC, as per advisory issued by the Board
191	Naveen Kumar B V, OS	7	
192	M.Maddileti Reddy, ITI		
193	P.V.V.Satyanarayana, AO		
194	Shaik Abdul Khayam, ITI	DR UDC - To fix seniority in the year in which the requisition	Seniority of SSC DR UDCs has been fixed in the year the requisition
195	D.V.Ramprasad, ITI	for the first time, either partly or fully made to SSC. Request	was sent to SSC, as per advisory issued by the Board. Bunching of promoted officials, wherever it occured in absence of DRs, was as per instruction of the D.O.P.T OM dt 7/2/1986. There can not be any
196	P.Ravi, ITI	that the promotions given in excess of promotion quota over	
197	K.Ramesh Babu, ITI	and above direct recruitment vacancies reported are to be	
198	K.Raghuramaiah, ITI	treated as ad-hoc as per Para 2.4.4 of the OM dated 03.02.1986	treatment of prometed officials as "adhoc" as sought since Para 2.4.4 of the OM dated 03.02.1986 is applicable only when there is no
199	G.Prabhakar Rao, ITI		reporting or under reporting of DR Vacancies
200	Inturi Srinivasa Rao, ITI		reporting of under reporting of DR vacanetes
201	Ravi Kumar G, ITI	DR UDC. Placed in new RY 1996-97. The list does not contain Vacancy Year. It is noticed that number of PRs assigned seniority in respect of a particular recruitment year is more than DRs. Para 2.4.4 of OM dated 03.02.1986 is applicable. Seniority of sports persons is grossly misinterpreted. They should be placed junior to those who have been already recommended by SSC. Recommendation of SSC will always come later in a period but that does not mean that sports persons will always be placed above them.	eniority of SSC DR UDCs has been fixed in the year the requisition was sent to SSC, as per advisory issued by the Board. Bunching of promoted officials, wherever it occured in absence of DRs, was as per instruction of the D.O.P.T OM dt 7/2/1986. There can not be any treatment of prometed officials as "adhoc" as sought since Para 2.4.4 of the OM dated 03.02.1986 is applicable only when there is no reporting or under reporting of DR Vacancies. Seniority of sportspersons has been fixed as per the DOPT OM dated 04.08.1980, in the year of selection. If on the date of their selection, if already no recommendation has been received from SSC for that year, they have been placed above the SSC DRs-

202	Lakshmi P J V, ITI	Joined as Adhoc ITI on 17.09.2007 and was made regular on 29.07.2008 - As per seniority list of ITIs circulated my date of joining has been shown as 29.07.2008 - My promotion as ITI should have been considered against backlog vacancies as on 01.01.2007 as I had completed qualifying service of 3 years as Sr.TA and seniority should be refixed	Already considered and dealt with in the review DPCs conducted in March 2015.
203	Ch Jagannadha Rao, ITI	Dopt Om Dt 4.3.2014-Effective From 27/11/12—This Was Follwed At Chennai, Karnataka And Mumbai And Already Fixed Seniority Was Not Disturbed- If It Is Still To Be Refixed, Then Bunching May Be Followed As Per Oms 7/2/86 And 3/7/86— Date Of Initiating Of Process Of Recruitment May Be Considered. Seniority Fixed As Per Previous Cadre Restructuring Guidelines May Be Considered.	The N.R.Parmar Decision has to be implemented retrospectively in the Income Tax Department as per Board's Letter in F.No.C-18013/4/2014-A.VII dated 6th June, 2014 and as per the Advisory issued. Bunching is followed as per DOPT Instructions.
204	Alok Kumar Dixit, ITI	Date of appointment in ITIs seniority list mentioned as 15.01.2010 instead of 05.02.2010	Corrected
205	Pallavi, ITI	Date of Joining wrongly mentioned as 12.07.2009 instead of 12.01.2009	Corrected
206	K.Sai Sankar	Seniority Placed Below Smt K.Sri Latha. 3 Years Of Representations. If Inter Dept transferees join on same Date, then Who has put in more service in Govt. Ranks higher—As Per CBDT Letter in F.No 12021/25/209-Ad-VII Dt 26/10/10. Matter was referred to the Board. Sai claims he joined Central Govt on 24/12/90 while K.Latha joined on 8/12/93 - Both joined IT Dept, AP on 2/1/95 but Latha is put above him	As Sri Sai Sankar was senior to Smt Latha prior to joining the income tax dept, his claim was accordingly considered and he is now placed above Smt K.Srilatha.
207	Venkateshwarlu P, ITI	Intercharge UDC - Name not figuring in the revised seniority list of UDCs	Included in the present list
208	Raghavulu J, ITI	Eligible for promotion in 2007 - promoted adhoc in 2007 - seniority to be fixed for 2007-08	Considered and Corrected
209	Rajeswari P, ITI	In the ITIs seniority list, Dharmendra Kumar Meena and Dheeraj Kumar's names have been fixed above my name whereas in 2013 seniority list they were placed 56 names after my name	Revision in seniority is as per Board's Advisory for implementation of NR Parmar Decision

210	Subrahmanyam D V, ITO	1996 SSC Exam – CBDT initially intimated 83 vacancies in the year 1995-96. Subsequent to Radheshyam decision, reexamination was held in 1999 only for those candidates who have appeared the exam. Before the exam in 1999 CBDT intimated 121 vacancies but later on it confirmed and recommended only 83 candidates for appointment when CBDT sent the final confirmation in November, 2001 (above facts confirmed by CBDT by way of affidavit filed in the case of Rajesh Kumar & Ors Vs CBDT, SSC in OA No.1593/2004 & MA No.2860/2010. The petitioner claimed that he should be confirmed for RY 1996-97, the year in which the vacancy arose as CBDT had already intimated and even the exam was conducted. Initiation of recruitment is intimating the vacancies to the recruiting agency. If such information was not in possession of the committee then the committee should consider conduct of exam i.e. year of examination to determine year of recruitment. Candidates recruited through 1996 exam were given seniority in UP East, UP West, Mumbai and NW region and other places. Recruitment for 1996 year was intimated by CBDT and exam was also conducted. Further, there was no recruitment in AP charge from 1999 exam. Therefore, all inter charge transfers between 1996 to 2002 were not against any clear vacancy and therefore they can be accommodated only after 2002. Any inter charge transferee occupying any slot meant for DR recruitment of 1996 exam because vacancies intimated to SSC do not constitute clear vacancies.	The RY has been fixed as per the advisory issued by the Board. Seniority of ICTs has been fixed in the RY as per their date of joining as per CBDT Circular dt. 14.05.1990
211 212	Shashi Bhushan Kumar Prabir Kumar Paul, ITI	DR ITI through SSC Exam 2005 - Seniority is placed below lower ranked candidates.	SSC sends regular list and then sends again some more names under the resevred/surplus list. The regular nominees are ranked above and are placed above candidates coming in the resevre or surplus list. Your names were nominated from the Surplus List as per Board's Lr. in F.No.A-12021/02/2008-Ad.VII dated 9th March 2009.
213	Sai Kumar M V, ITI	In Gujarat, ITI list revised from 1986, In Mumbai, ITI list revised from 2006-07, Uniform Implementation should be done	The N.R.Parmar Decision has to be implemented retrospectively in the Income Tax Department as per Board's Letter in F.No.C-18013/4/2014-A.VII dated 6th June, 2014 and as per the Advisory issued.

Consideration of Representations Received regarding Revision of Seniority due to NR Parm			
214	M.Siva Sankara Naik	Eligible for Promotion as ITO of Recruitment Year 2007-08 instead of 2008-09. He is a Party in Writ Petition No 27670 of 2007 In High Court. DPC for 2007-08 done on 28/3/07. Crucial Date for determining eligibility Is 1/1/07. ITO Exam results declared on 10/4/07. Freshly Passed Persons Had Gone To CAT Seeking Review DPC, WHICH WAS ALLOWED BY THE HONB'LE CAT VIDE ITS ORDER DT 24/09/08. This was challenged in the High Court in which the petitioner is a party. Supplementary DPC for Year 2007-08 for additional 17 Vacancies done On 24/09/08. Petitioner iss No 1 in S.T.List as he passed exam in 2001 Itself—So should have been taken for 2007-08 Year but was considered for 2008-09.	The supplementary DPC was conducted as per the guidelines directed by the Hon'ble High Court in WP No.27670 of 2007 dated 28.04.2008 in which the petitioner was an Appellant. As there is no change in the facts of the issue, the earlier stand is continued.
215	K Annavaram, ITO	ITIs Exam 1992 - To fix seniority in RY 1992 - As 4 STs were selected out of 15 in 1992 Exam, which is above the 7.5% reservation, the ST vacancies may relate to earlier years and hence seniority may be fixed earlier to 1992 as per vacancy	The RY has been fixed as per the advisory issued by the Board.
216	Siva Sankar Rao K, ITO, Guntur Annavaram K, ITO	Joined as ITI in October, 1994. 14 others joined as DR inspectors in 1994. Of these 15, four were ST. All these were put in RY 1994 but they should be considered for the year of vacancy i.e 1992 the year of writing of SSC Examination. Specifically refers to one Sri M Gopal Naik who passed deptl promotions in 1994 and got promoted as ITI in November, 1994 from the cadre of UDC. In the seniority list, Sri Gopal Naik is placed after V Shivaji and K Shivashankar and K Annavaram are placed after Naik. This is injustice as our vacancy is relating to RY 1992 - There were four STs out of 15 candidates selected. This is over and above the percentage of reservation 7.5% which shows that the post/vacancy are related to previous years of 1992. There were also no ST inspector recruited and allotted to AP region in 1990, 1991, 1992 and 1993 therefore some of the SC vacancies filled in 1994 relates to previous years vacancies may be 1990, 1991, 1992 and 1993.	The RY of SSC DR ITIs has been fixed as per the advisory issued by the Board for implementation of the NR Parmar Judgement.

218	D.J.P.Anand, JCIT	Joined as ITI On 14/8/1987. Promoted As Ito On 2/11/1994. Social Status As S.C Was Not Considered And Was Given As Promotion As General Candidate. This Led To Loss Of Seniority At All India Level	Seniority has been revised as per Advisory. Now promoted under SC Category
219	Sivarama Prasad A, ITI	Joined as ITI on 23.02.2012 as DR inspector. Selected through SSC exam 2010 to fill vacancies which arose during FYs 09-10 and 10-11 carried forward vacancies as can be noted in CBDT Letter No. in F.No.12021/11/2010-Ad.VII	SSC DR ITIs Seniority has been revised as per Advisory issued by Board
220	P.Neelima, STA	Joined On 3/7/2008 As Direct Recruit TA. Notification For The Post Was Given In Employment News Dated 15/07/2006	SSC DR TAs Seniority has been revised as per Advisory issued by Board
221	Stella Rosalind, ITI	Joined the Department as LDC on 26.04.1993 and got promoted to the post of UDC on 15.05.1996. Smt. Jyoti Nikhil who has come from other charge and who has become UDC in the year 1997 is placed above me at Sl.No.447	Considered and Corrected
222	T.Murali Krishna Rao	Made earlier representation on 10/11/2005 for revision of seniority in the cadre of UDC (pre restructure between him and Sri K Phani Kumar both belonging to same batch of direct rectuit UDCs recruited through SSC 1991) Administration considered his issue favourably and issued proceedings dated 14.03.2006. Became Inspector vide proceedings dated 26.03.2009. As per Estt. List as on 01.01.2010, he was placed at sl.no.369 and above K Phani Kumar at 374. Does not want his settled seniority issue to be disturbed again	Status Quo Maintained
223	Govindarajulu D, ITO	My seniority has been placed in new RY 1994-95. My SSC Exam Year is 1993 which means requisition to SSC may be prior to 1993. Date of requisition is not mentioned. Further, the DOPT OM No.20011/1/2001-Estt.(D) dated 21.01.2001 may also be taken into consideration, while fixing the seniority.	Seniority of SSC DRs has been fixed in the year the requisition was sent to SSC. Consequential seniority of SC/ST candidates has been taken into consideration while fixing the seniority CONSIDERED
224	Jagannadha Rao YVS, ITO	Not to revise settled seniority.	The N.R.Parmar Decision has to be implemented retrospectively in the Income Tax Department as per Board's Letter in F.No.C-18013/4/2014-A.VII dated 6th June, 2014 and as per the Advisory issued.

225	Vijayalakshmi S, ITI	Promooted as ITI on PH category - My seniority has not been fixed as per DOPT guidelines reservation roster - DRs joining after 1st January should be placed in the next years list - Some DRs have been given seniority from the period they were working in other departments - Those joined after 1st January should be placed below as PRs of that year - Some DRs have joined very late and as per DOPT guidelines, if they do not join within six months, their offer of appointment lapses - their seniority should be fixed along with next batch	Seniority has been revised as per Advisory for implementation of NR Parmar Decision. Representation may be taken up after considering the revised list.
226	Srinivasa Rao C, ITI	1	
227	Jagannadha Rao YVS, ITO	Promotee UDC - Sri V Bapuji Rao and Sri M Varaprasad Rao have been placed above me were junior to me as per joining date and Sri Syed Nazmuddin Md. Quadi who has been placed above me was selected on compassionate ground and hence should be placed at the bottom of all DR/PR. In the cadre of LDC, I was placed below G Hariharan but subsequently fixed after ten names of Sri G Hariharan. Please verify. In the 2001 Cadre Restructure, UDCs and erstwhile TAs were merged into Sr.TAs per UDC seniority. As erstwhile TA carried a higher Pay Scale, the erstwhile TAs should been placed above the UDC while merging into Sr.TA	It is verified and found that the seniority has been correctly fixed in the cadre of UDC. In the cadre of Sr.TA in 2001 Restructure, the merger of UDCs and erstwhile TA was done as per the Instructions issued by the Board. The same is followed.
228	Srinivasa Rao Nemani, ITI	Recruitment of DR ITIs more than advertised vacancies by SSC in 2005 Exam - As per their appointment is in violation of Article 14 & 16 of the Constitution, their seniority should be fixed in later years	Seniority is revised as per Advisory issued by Board, which considered the year of exam and requisition sent by it to SSC
229	Srinivasa Rao Nemani, ITI	Request for correction of seniority in the cadre of DR UDC - Seniority in the cadre of UDC taken for promotion to Sr.TA whereas old T.A.s should have been considered first	Seniority of SSC DR UDCs has been revised as per the Advisory issued by the Board. Seniority in the cadre of UDCs was considered for Sr.TA promotion in 2001 Restructure as per Board's Instructions. The same is followed.
230	Satyanarayana K V V, ITI	Seniority List of ITI as on 01.01.2014 - My date of joining as ITI wrongly shown - My seniority should be fixed after Ch. Venkateswar Rao, ITI	Considered AND CORRECTED

Annexure-10

231	Prasad Babu B A, ITI	Seniority List of ITI as on 01.01.2014 - My date of joining as ITI wrongly shown - My seniority should be fixed after Ch.Venkateswar Rao, ITI	Considered AND CORRECTED
232	Tirupati P, ITO	Wanted copy of Vacancy Register and any other information for refixing seniority to make a representation	No representation as such many on any issue
233	Venkata Madhav Rentala, ITI	To mention PH in Category	Mentioned
234	Ravi P, ITI	DR UDC - Placed in new RY 1994-95. UDC from Surplus Cell placed above SSC DRs	Considered and seniority is revised
235	Timothy Ravi Kumar J, ITI	Name not figuring in circulated UDCs seniority list	Considered and Corrected
236	G Ravi Shankar	In the Draft Establishment List as on 01.01.2011, some of the Inspectors junior to me were placed above me	The ITIs seniority has been revised as per the Advisory issued by the Board. Your petition is treated as attended to.
237	Sreedevi M, ITI	Joined as DEO. While merging the cadre in 2001 Restructure, UDCs were considered first though we have joined earlier in a higher pay scale cadre.	In 2001 Restructions, the cadres were merged as per the Board's Instructions. As the same was done as per Board's Instructions, the same is followed.
238	Madhavi Y	Joined as Inspector on 08.01.2010. As per seniority list circulated, some of the officials who received promotions as Inspectors after my joining as Inspector, were placed above me. The mistake may be corrected.	No specific instance is brought to notice by the petitioner. However, seniority of ITIs has been revised as per the Advisory issued by the Board.
239	Suryanarayana Murthy V	The petitioner along with Sri K Ravi Sankar joined on intercharge transfer from Tamil Nadu on 05/11/2012. The petitioner contends that he should be placed above Sri K Ravi Shankar as his length of Government Service before joining Department is more	Shall be revised after verification
240	Kedarnath M S, ITI	In the 2001 Cadre Restructure, while merging into the cadre of STA, TA(Pre-restructure) was not given the first place	In 2001 Restructions, the cadres were merged as per the Board's Instructions. As the same was done as per Board's Instructions, the same is followed.
241	Janakiram T S, ITI		
242	Pramila P K, ITI		
243	Jagannadha Rao YVS, ITO		
244	Ram Prasad D V, ITI	Promotion Orders of ITOs dated 19.02.2015 - Some of the Officials who were given promotion will become my juniors if seniority is revised as per NR Parmar - To pass necessary order	Seniority in the cadres has been revised as per the Advisory issued by the Board and promotion of ITOs will be accordingly revised

245	Vijay Kumar MNDMVP,	DOPT OM dt.04.03.2014 laid out the manner of determination	The N.R.Parmar Decision has to be implemented retrospectively in
	ITO	of inter-se seniority. Settled cases should not be revisited. In	the Income Tax Department as per Board's Letter in F.No.C-
246	Subrahmanyam P, ITO	AP Region OM dt. 03.03.2008 was never implemented. To	18013/4/2014-A.VII dated 6th June, 2014. The senioroty has ben
247	Mahadevan MVS, ITO	stop all proceedings of the NR Parma Committee	revised as per the Advisory issued by the Board
248	Madhu D, ITO		
249	Jagannadha Rao YVS, ITO		
250	Harshavardhan B, ITO		
251	Brahmaji K, ITO		
252	Gopinath A B, ITO		
253	Chandrasekhar Reddy AS,		
	ITO		
254	Satyanarayana KVV, ITO		
255	Nilofar, ITO		
256	Padmini P, ITO		
257	Srinivasa Rao Nanduri, ITO		
258	Suryanarayana N V, ITO		
259	Appa Rao N V, ITO		
260	Sandhya Rani D, ITO		
261	Kurma Reddy R, ITO		
262	Ranga Reddy M, ITO		
263	Ramesh A	To correct date of birth and date of joining in the UDCs list	Considered and corrected
		circulated	
264	Pramila P K, ITI	Intercharge Officials are placed above us in UDC Cadre	Seniority of Intercharge Officials against promotee officials is
265	Janakiram T S, ITI		reckoned on their date of joining in the new charge. Hence, they are
266	Kedarnath M S, ITI		correctly placed as per their date of joining
267	Prahlad Sarma P, ITI	To correct date of joining in UDCs List	Considered and corrected
الشتسا	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2 6 2 2 2 2	